Monte Carlo simulation of a lattice model for the dynamics of randomly branching double-folded ring polymers
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Supercoiled DNA, crumpled interphase chromosomes, and topologically constrained ring polymers often adopt tree-like double-folded, randomly branching configurations. Here we study an elastic lattice model for tightly double-folded ring polymers, which allows for the spontaneous creation and deletion of side branches coupled to a diffusive mass transport, which is local both in space and on the connectivity graph of the tree. We use Monte Carlo simulations to study systems falling into three different universality classes: ideal double-folded rings without excluded volume interactions, self-avoiding double-folded rings, and double-folded rings in the melt state. The observed static properties are in good agreement with exact results, simulations, and predictions of Flory theory for randomly branching polymers. For example, in the melt state rings adopt compact configurations and exhibit territorial behavior. In particular, we show that the emergent dynamics is in excellent agreement with a recent scaling theory and illustrate the qualitative differences with the familiar reptation dynamics of linear chains.

I. INTRODUCTION

The behavior of melts of non-concatenated ring polymers has caught the interest of physicists over many years [1–12] and appears to provide a natural explanation for the territorial chromosomal arrangement in eukaryotic cells during interphase [13–15]. With their microscopic topological state permanently quenched, the equilibrium statistics and dynamics of non-concatenated ring polymers is fundamentally different from the behavior of their linear counterparts. A powerful approximation is available through the analogy with ring polymers in an array of fixed obstacles [1, 3, 7, 8]. In this view, crumpling can be understood by the successive application of three different strategies for entropy maximization: double-folding, branching, and swelling. Firstly, and most importantly, the rings adopt double-folded configurations to minimize the thread-able surface as this reduces the importance of the topological constraints they impose on each other. Secondly, double-folded rings can increase their entropy by branching. Thirdly, there is a certain amount of swelling due to partially screened excluded volume interactions leading to asymptotically compact conformations characterized by the scaling exponent of the radius of gyration, $\nu = 1/d$ for $d \leq 4$ dimensions. Double-folding, branching and swelling due to excluded volume interactions also occur in plectonemic configurations of supercoiled circular DNA [16–20]. Similarly, viral RNA may effectively behave like branched polymers [21–23]. From a more general perspective, the mapping of (double-folded) ring polymers to randomly branching polymers or trees suggests analogies to phenomena like gelation [24], percolation [25], and the critical behavior of magnetic systems [26–29]. This may explain, why we are here, as often in polymer physics [24, 30–32], predominantly concerned with exponents, which characterize the essence of the behavior of all members of a universality class and which are independent of microscopic details differentiating particular experimental polymers as well as lattice and off-lattice models from each other.

Recent numerical work on the static properties of self-avoiding trees and lattice tree melts [33–35] has shown that the behavior of randomly branching chains under different solvent conditions is in excellent qualitative agreement with a suitably generalized Flory theory [13, 36]. A multi-scale approach to the construction of ring melts based on this analogy faithfully captures many aspects of the conformational statistics of properly equilibrated systems [11, 12]. However, Monte Carlo algorithms optimized for rapidly equilibrating the static structure of randomly branching chains [37, 38] [Fig. 1(c)] generate an artificial dynamics.

To generate a physically more realistic dynamics, Monte Carlo simulations [39–41] need to obey the same conservation laws [42] as the modeled target systems. In the present case, this requires a scheme, where the mass transport is local both in space and on the connectivity graph of the tree.

Below, we present Monte Carlo simulations of a suitable elastic lattice polymer model, which accounts for double-folding [4, 43], the local accumulation of contour length on the primitive tree [4, 40, 44–46] as well as excluded volume interactions [44–46].

The manuscript is structured as follows: In Sec. II we introduce relevant observables and the related exponents.
FIG. 1. (a) Branched tree on a trigonal lattice, and (b) a corresponding (tightly wrapped) double-folded ring polymer. Small loops represent bonds of zero length, where adjacent monomers along the ring occupy identical lattice sites. (c) Example of a nonlocal “amoeba” Monte Carlo move [37, 38] altering the tree structure. The dashed brown line shows the location of a branch prior to the MC move, and the solid brown line shows an arbitrary location where the branch could be reattached to the tree. (d) Examples of local MC moves for the present model of double-folded ring polymers. Dots represent monomers, and black lines represent an allowed conformation of the double-folded chain. The allowed (forbidden) moves are indicated by the green (red) color. R: the Repton move. H: the Hairpin move. F: Forbidden move that does not preserve the double-folded structure.

Also, we briefly summarize the theoretical background. The model and the simulations are described in Sec. III. In Sec. IV we present and discuss our results. After some first qualitative insights in Sec.IV A, we validate that the static properties of our model are in good agreement with theoretical and numerical work on trees (Sec. IV B). The next step, Sec. IV C, focuses on comparison of the single ring dynamics with the predictions of a recent scaling theory [3, 8]. Furthermore, we compare the dynamics of double-folded rings to the motion of linear chains in the tube model [47] (Sec. IV D). Finally, we briefly conclude in Sec. V.

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

A double-folded ring polymer can be mapped on a randomly branched primitive tree [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 48, 49]. In analogy to protein or RNA structures, such conformations can be discussed in terms of a primary, a secondary, and a tertiary structure [50]. The primary structure is simply defined through the connectivity of the ring monomers. The secondary structure arises from the double folding and can be specified through the mapping of the ring onto a graph with the connectivity of the primitive tree. The tertiary structure describes the embedding of the rings and trees into (three dimensional) space. We define corresponding observables in Secs. II A and II B. Secs. II C and II D briefly summarize scaling arguments for the effect of excluded volume interactions and the dynamics of randomly branched double-folded ring polymers.

A. Secondary structure

Two standard measures of the tree connectivity are the mean contour distance, \( L \), between tree nodes, and the average weight of branches, \( N_{br} \), separated from the tree by severing a randomly chosen link. Both depend on the weight, \( N \), of the rings through power law relations

\[
\langle L(N) \rangle \sim N^\rho, \quad (1)
\]
\[
\langle N_{br}(N) \rangle \sim N^\nu, \quad (2)
\]

where \( \epsilon = \rho \) is expected to hold in general [38]. The (tight) wrapping of a tree by a ring polymer introduces an additional metric on the embedded graph [50]. The central quantity is the length of the shortest path on the tree or tree contour distance, \( L \), between two monomers, \( i \) and \( j \) along the ring. For short ring contour distances, \( n = |i - j| \), one simply expects \( \langle L(n) \rangle \sim n^\nu \). However, beyond the typical distance between branch points, the ring does not follow a linear path on the tree, but wraps side branches. For \( n \ll N \), Eq. (1) suggests \( \langle L(n) \rangle \sim n^\rho \). Due to the ring closure \( \langle L(n) \rangle \equiv \langle L(N - n) \rangle \) reaches its maximum for \( n = N/2 \) before reducing to zero at the total ring size, \( \langle L(N) \rangle \equiv 0 \). The simplest functional form accounting for this constraint is [50]

\[
\langle L(n) \rangle_N \sim \left( n \left( 1 - \frac{n}{N} \right) \right)^\rho. \quad (3)
\]

B. Tertiary structure

The simplest measures of the tertiary structure are the overall tree gyration radii,

\[
\langle R_2^2(N) \rangle \sim N^{2\nu}, \quad (4)
\]
as a function of the chain length. For a more detailed understanding, it is useful to consider the mean-square spatial distance between nodes,

\[
\langle R^2(L) \rangle \sim L^{2\nu_{path}}, \quad (5)
\]
as a function of their contour distance on the tree, where \( \nu = \rho \nu_{path} \). Combining Eqs. (5) and (3) suggests [50]

\[
\langle R^2(n) \rangle_N \sim \left( n \left( 1 - \frac{n}{N} \right) \right)^{2\nu} \quad (6)
\]
for the mean-square spatial distance of monomers as a function of their distance, \( n = |i - j| \), along the ring.
C. Flory theory

Exact values for the exponents are known only for a very small number of cases. For ideal non-interacting trees, the exponents $\rho^{\text{ideal}} = \epsilon^{\text{ideal}} = \nu^{\text{ideal}} = 1/2$ and $\nu^{\text{ideal}} = 1/4$ [51, 52]. For interacting trees, the only known exact result [26] is the value $\nu = 1/2$ for self-avoiding trees in $d = 3$.

Flory theories [7, 13, 36, 53, 54] of interacting tree systems are formulated as a balance of an entropic elastic term and an interaction energy [55]

$$ F = F_{\text{el}}(N, R) + F_{\text{inter}}(N, R) , \quad (7) $$

In the present case, the elastic free energy takes the form [13]

$$ \frac{F_{\text{el}}}{k_B T} \sim \frac{R^2}{l_K L} + \frac{L^2}{N^2} , \quad (8) $$

The first term of Eq. (8) is the usual elastic energy contribution for stretching a polymer of linear contour length $L$ at its ends [13]. The second term penalizes deviations from the ideal branching statistics, which lead to longer paths and hence spatially more extended trees. Optimizing $L$ for annealed trees for a given asymptotic, $R \sim N^\nu$, yields [13, 36]

$$ \nu = \frac{1 + 2 \nu}{3} , \quad (9) $$

$$ \nu_{\text{path}} = \frac{3 \nu}{1 + 2 \nu} , \quad (10) $$

independently of the type of volume interactions causing the swelling in the first place. Plausibly, a fully extended system, $\nu = 1$, is predicted not to branch, $\rho = 1$, and to have a fully stretched stem, $\nu_{\text{path}} = \nu = 1$. For the radius of ideal randomly branched polymers, $\nu = 1/4$, one recovers $\rho = 1/2$ and Gaussian path statistics, $\nu_{\text{path}} = 1/2$.

Reference [36] reviews the predictions of the Flory theory for randomly branching polymers for a wide range of conditions characterized by different expressions for the interaction energy in Eq. (7). For self-avoiding trees, $\frac{F_{\text{inter}}(N, R)}{k_B T} \sim \nu_2 N \nu^2$ represents the two-body repulsion between segments, which dominates in good solvent. In this case, Flory theory predicts [13]

$$ \nu = \frac{7}{3d + 4} \quad 1 \leq d \leq 8 , \quad (11) $$

in qualitatively excellent and almost quantitative agreement with the exact results [33]. In dense melts, all terms of the virial expansion of the partially screened excluded volume interactions become relevant and the trees are expected to be compact [36]:

$$ \nu = \frac{1}{d} \quad 1 \leq d \leq 4 . \quad (12) $$

While Flory theory describes the average behavior of the tree observables mentioned above, we note for completeness, that the corresponding non-Gaussian distribution functions are typically of the Redner-des Cloizeaux (RdC) form of a power law multiplied with a stretched exponential. Most of the additional exponents characterizing the tails of the distributions can be related to each other and the standard tree exponents [35, 50].

D. Dynamics

In the following we summarize the arguments for the dynamics of randomly branched double-folded ring polymers from Refs. [3, 4, 8]. Consider the division of a tree into its trunk (the longest path on the tree) and the branches hanging off this trunk. The trunk has a length of $L \sim aN^\nu$, where $a$ is the lattice constant and the number of branches is proportional to $L$. The slowest relaxation process is the transport of mass along the trunk, while the intra-branch dynamics is irrelevant and may be neglected [3, 4]. The elementary step of the dynamics is the repton-like exchange of mass between neighboring branches along the trunk [56]. Each elementary event changes the average projected position of the monomers along the trunk by an amount $\delta R_{\text{CM}} \sim a/N$. The number of such events by an elementary unit of time, $\tau_0$, is proportional to the number of branches. As a consequence, $\langle \delta R_{\text{CM}}^2 \rangle(\tau_0) \sim a/N^2 N^\nu$, corresponding to a diffusion constant for the curvilinear motion along the trunk of $D \sim \langle \delta R_{\text{CM}}^2 \rangle(\tau_0)/\tau_0 \sim (a/\tau_0)N^{\nu-2}$. To completely relax the internal tree structure, the tree CM has to diffuse over the entire trunk length. As a consequence,

$$ \tau_{\text{max}}(N) \sim \frac{(aN)^2}{(a^2/\tau_0)N^{\nu-2}} \sim \tau_0 N^{\nu+2} , \quad (13) $$

or, using Eq. (9), $\tau_{\text{max}}(N) \sim \tau_0 N^{(7+2\nu)/3}$. As this corresponds to a mean-square spatial displacement of $D_{\text{CM}}(N) \sim \langle R_{\text{CM}}^2 \rangle(N) \sim a^2 N^{2\nu}$, the long-time CM and monomer diffusion are given by

$$ g_{1,3}(t \gg \tau_{\text{max}}(N)) \sim D_{\text{CM}}(N) t \quad (14) $$

with

$$ D_{\text{CM}}(N) \sim \frac{a^2}{\tau_0} N^{2\nu-\nu-2} \quad (15) $$

or $D_{\text{CM}}(N) \sim \frac{a^2}{\tau_0} N^{4(\nu-7)/3}$.

Furthermore, one can invert Eq. (13) to obtain the mass,

$$ n(t) \sim \left( \frac{t}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu+2}} \quad (16) $$

of rings (or ring sections) which are equilibrated after a given time, $\tau_0 < t < \tau_{\text{max}}$. During a corresponding time
interval, monomers move over a spatial distance of the order of $a^2 n(t)^{2\nu}$,

$$g_1(\tau_0 < t < \tau_{max}) \sim a^2 \left( \frac{t}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{2\nu}{\nu+2}},$$

(17)

which is independent of the total ring length. Similarly, one can estimate the CM motion by noting that each of the $n(t)/N$ equilibrated ring sections independently moves over a distance of the order of $a^2 n(t)^{2\nu}$. As a consequence,

$$g_3(\tau_0 < t < \tau_{max}) \sim g_1(t) \frac{n(t)}{N} \sim \frac{1}{N} a^2 \left( \frac{t}{\tau_0} \right)^{\frac{2\nu+4}{\nu+2}}.$$

(18)

III. MODEL AND METHOD

Monte Carlo simulations [39–41] can be expected to generate physically realistic results if they obey the same conservation laws [42] as the modeled target systems. As an illustration in the present context, consider first an algorithm on the tree level, which removes or adds segments with a probability governed by a chemical potential. While this allows control of the average tree weight, such an algorithm is clearly inappropriate to simulate (double-folded) ring polymers of fixed weight. This difficulty is partially overcome by the amoeba algorithm of Seitz and Klein [37], which attempts to move one-functional tree “leaves” to random locations on the tree [Fig. 1(c)]. Since this operation conserves the tree weight, the algorithm can be meaningfully employed to study static aspects of the ring polymer and the chromosome folding problem [11, 14, 34, 49]. The same holds true for a variant of the same idea by Janse van Rensburg and Madras [38], which achieves a much higher efficiency in dilute systems by cutting and relocating entire branches. Similarly, one could envision a (probably highly efficient) variant of the connectivity altering double-bridging scheme [57] for tree melts, where neighboring trees swap entire branches of equal weight without moving them in space. Such moves can be expected to have a much higher acceptance probability in dense systems, since they preserve the uniform monomer density. However, none of these algorithms can be used to simulate the configurational dynamics of double-folded ring polymers. Instead we require a scheme, where the mass transport is local in space and on the connectivity graph of the tree.

In the following, we first review the elastic lattice polymer model [40, 43–46], which is a simple and efficient Monte Carlo algorithm for studying the dynamics of entangled linear chains (Sec. III A). In Sec. III B we describe the generalization to randomly branching double-folded ring polymers [4]. Secs. III C and III D provide more details on the systems studied and on how we initialized and equilibrated our runs.

A. Elastic Lattice Polymer Models

The dynamics of topologically constrained linear polymers can be efficiently studied in Monte Carlo (MC) simulations of the coarse-grained elastic lattice polymer model [40, 43–46].

In this model the continuum dynamics of a polymer melt is replaced by a lattice version. The mapping is achieved by dividing the space into cells where the centers of these cells form a regular lattice. By moving all the monomers residing in a cell to the center, the polymer conformation and its dynamics are discretized. The maximum number of monomers per site is a free parameter of the model that depends on the coarse graining, e.g., it can be calculated by considering the volume of the monomers and the cell volume. The projection of real space onto a lattice will also limit the dynamics to nearest neighbor hops of the monomers. As a result of the coarse graining, the only allowed bond lengths between neighboring monomers can be 0 or 1, 0 for monomers that are in the same cell, and 1 for monomers residing in neighboring cells. This also means that any MC move should only be accepted if it preserves this constraint.

In order to guarantee the constraints of excluded volume and non-crossing of strands, without the loss of generality, a minor restraint is introduced to the occupancy of the cells [4]. Multiple occupancy on a lattice site is allowed only for monomers that are directly connected to each other by monomers on the same site, i.e., they form a polymer strand of variable length (a subchain of chemically bonded monomers), where the length of such a strand is limited by the cell size. This also guarantees that monomers belonging to different polymers can never occupy the same site. With this constraint the implementation of excluded volume interaction and non-crossability becomes operationally trivial while fully maintaining a repton [56]-like dynamics along the primitive chain [47].

When the elastic lattice polymer model is used to simulate ring polymers [46, 49], the algorithm conserves the microscopic topological state of the starting configuration. In particular, melts of long, non-concatenated rings exhibit compact and characteristically crumpled conformations.

B. Generalization to randomly branching double-folded ring polymers

When the algorithm is generalized to double-folded polymers [4], lattice bonds represent tree segments which can only be occupied by two oppositely oriented bonds between ring monomers [Fig. 1(b)]. Tree nodes are located on lattice sites. Their functionality depends on the number of emerging tree segments, $f = 1$ (a leaf or branch tip), $f = 2$ (linear chain section), and $f \geq 3$.
(branch point). As in the elastic lattice polymer model and in contrast to standard tree models [33, 37, 38] (which can be wrapped a posteriori with ring polymers [50]), the degrees of freedom are the positions of the ring monomers. The local redistribution of stored length is responsible for the dynamics. In contrast to the elastic lattice polymer model, the connectivity graph for the connected lattice sites is a dynamically branching object. In particular, new side branches are created, when a monomer from inside an accumulation of stored length moves to a neighboring lattice site. Conversely, side branches vanish, when the last monomer reintegrates into the main branch and retracts the two remaining extended ring bonds representing the tree segment [Fig. 1(d)]. Specifically, we impose the following rules:

**Lattice:** Ring monomers are placed on the sites of a periodic fcc lattice. We choose the fcc lattice because it is isotropic and it has the maximum number of nearest neighbors.

**Connectivity:** Bonded monomers can occupy either the same site (a repton or loop of stored length) or nearest neighbor sites (an extended bond).

**Order:** Ring monomers remain ordered even if several connected monomers occupy the same site [56].

**Double-folding:** Each extended bond of the polymer is paired with exactly one extended bond pointing in the opposite direction [Fig. 1(b)].

**Ring monomers and tree nodes:** The number of ring monomers belonging to the same tree node is equal to \( f + \alpha \), where \( f \) is the functionality of the lattice tree node and \( \alpha \) is the number of loops of stored length on that node.

**Excluded volume interaction:** Different tree nodes are not allowed to occupy the same lattice site.

**Dynamics:** We employ a simple Metropolis scheme [58] which consists of trying to move a randomly selected monomer to a randomly chosen site out of the twelve possible nearest neighbors. The move is only accepted if the new conformation respects the conformational rules above.

A two-dimensional (hexagonal) representation of a double-folded polymer chain conformation produced using the model is shown in Fig. 1(d). The green (red) color indicates the allowed (forbidden) moves according to the conformational constraints. All allowed hopping moves for tightly folded rings can be classified in terms of two different move types:

**The Repton move:** A unit of stored length hops one unit along the tree without changing its structure [indicated with the green letter (R) in Fig. 1(d)].

**The Hairpin move:** If there is at least one connected loop of stored length (in a site) on each side of a monomer, both loops can unfold and result in an extended bond (creation). Naturally, the inverse move removes an extended bond pair and thus shortens or removes a side branch from the tree structure (annihilation). In fact, branched structures are introduced by the formation of hairpins [green letter (H) in Fig. 1(d)].

An example of a rejected move is also shown in Fig. 1(d). The red move (F) will lead to a forbidden conformation because it does not preserve the double-folded structure. For an impression of the dynamics of the appearance and disappearance of branches due to Hairpin moves we refer the reader to the opening sequences of the supplementary video S4 [59].

C. Studied Systems

The simulations were carried out for chain lengths varying between 64 to 1000 for three systems: ideal double-folded rings, self-avoiding double-folded rings, and rings in the melt state. The self-avoiding case and the rings in the melt state have excluded volume interactions. For the ideal case, there is no restriction on the number of tree nodes on any site of the lattice (no excluded volume interaction). In the melt state a high lattice density, \( \rho = 0.95 \), was used. Since polymer chains diffuse very slowly in compact systems, we have performed long simulation runs to have a large number of independent samples. For the self-avoiding double-folded ring simulations the size of the box was set large enough to avoid self-interaction of the chain as a result of the periodic boundary condition. A summary of the simulation parameters and data is given in Table I.

D. Initialization and Equilibration

Initial configurations are produced through a growth process. First, double-folded rings are seeded as trimers which are located on a common lattice site. Then the process comprises two operations: (i) The diffusion of the monomers, in agreement with the previously stated dynamic rules. If branching is allowed during the growth process, highly branched compact chain configurations appear on the lattice. However, if branching is not allowed during growth, the Hairpin move is restricted to the chain ends. As a result, a double-folded ring configuration will be built which resembles a self-avoiding random walk in space. (ii) The occasional addition of new monomers. A new monomer is introduced to a chain by selecting a random monomer on the chain and inserting the new one between the selected monomer and the next neighbor along the chain, on the same site. In other
words, we add a loop of stored length. The insertion of
a loop is attempted with low probability, 0.01, to assure
a good balance between growth and equilibration. The
addition of new monomers is halted once all chains have
the desired length. This process results in unknotted and
non-concatenated rings.

The radius of gyration is the structural property that
has been investigated to monitor the state of equilibra-
tion of the polymer systems. The mean-square gyration
radius $\langle R_g^2 \rangle$ is the average squared distance of any point
in the ring polymer chain from its center of mass. The
systems have reached equilibrium when this quantity no
longer changes. All reported quantities are taken from
the simulation regime where the polymers are fully equi-
librated.

Figure 2 shows the radius of gyration vs MC time
(one MC sweep is equivalent to one MC trial for every
monomer in the system) for different rings. In order to
validate the equilibrium values of radius of gyration, we
ran the simulations from two totally different initial con-
ditions. The left column in Fig. 2 shows equilibra-
tion of compact initial configurations, which swell as the simulation progresses with time. The right column shows equilib-
ration of more open, initially unbranched double-folded
rings, where average ring size decreases as branches ap-
pear. The horizontal lines represent the average values
after the chains have reached equilibrium (values are re-
ported in Table I). In particular, Fig. 2 confirms that the simulation results do not depend on the initial con-
formations of the ring polymer chains.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

After some first qualitative insights in Sec.IV A, we pre-
sent a quantitative analysis of the conformational sta-
tistics and dynamics of our randomly branching,
double-folded ring polymers and compare our observa-

---

**TABLE I.** System parameters for the double-folded ideal rings (Ideal), double-folded self-avoiding rings (S.A.T), and double-folded rings in the melt state (Melt). $N$: Number of monomers per chain; $n_p$: Total number of chains per simulated system; $L_{box}$: Size of the simulation box. Note: An fcc lattice of size $L$ contains $\frac{L^3}{2}$ sites; Site occupation number: The average number of monomers in an occupied lattice site; $\rho$: Lattice density which is the ratio of the number of occupied sites to the total number of sites; $N_{sample}$: Number of independent MC samples; $T_{tot}$: simulation run time in Monte Carlo sweep [MCs]; CPU time: The CPU wall clock time for $N_{sample}$ samples on a single core; $N_{ind}$: The number of independent configurations (see Sec. IV C); $\tau_{max}$: Configuration relaxation time measured after reaching equilibrium calculated using, $\tau_{max} = \frac{T_{tot}}{N_{ind}}$. Radius of gyration ($R_g^2$), MSID ($\langle R^2(N/2) \rangle$), and average value of contour distance ($\langle L_N \rangle$) are defined in the text. All times are measured in Monte Carlo time sweep [MCs], all distances are in units of lattice constants, and all measurements are performed after reaching equilibrium.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$n_p$</th>
<th>$L_{box}$</th>
<th>$\rho$</th>
<th>$N_{sample}$</th>
<th>$T_{tot}$</th>
<th>CPU time</th>
<th>$N_{ind}$</th>
<th>$\tau_{max}$</th>
<th>$\langle R_g^2 \rangle$</th>
<th>$\langle R^2(N/2) \rangle$</th>
<th>$\langle L_N \rangle$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>[x10²]</td>
<td>[x10⁴]</td>
<td>[days]</td>
<td>[x10⁴]</td>
<td>[x10⁴]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ideal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.7(7)</td>
<td>9.1(1)e-2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10³</td>
<td>~ 0.4</td>
<td>~ 0.8</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.74 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.4(7)</td>
<td>7.2(6)e-2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>~ 5</td>
<td>~ 21</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.03</td>
<td>11.13 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9(8)</td>
<td>6.3(4)e-2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>~ 16.5</td>
<td>~ 3.6</td>
<td>2.2 ± 2.9</td>
<td>7.13 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3(2)</td>
<td>5.8(6)e-2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>~ 74</td>
<td>~ 2.4</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.0</td>
<td>10.52 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.A.T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3(6)</td>
<td>6.7(8)e-3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10³</td>
<td>~ 0.2</td>
<td>~ 81</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>10.25 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3(5)</td>
<td>5.6(1)e-3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>~ 4.5</td>
<td>~ 27</td>
<td>11.76 ± 0.08</td>
<td>34.61 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3(4)</td>
<td>8.5(5)e-4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>~ 17.5</td>
<td>~ 2.6</td>
<td>28.65 ± 0.20</td>
<td>85.01 ± 1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.3(5)</td>
<td>8.5(2)e-4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>~ 30.7</td>
<td>~ 1.8</td>
<td>1.7 ± 1.7</td>
<td>55.95 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1(5)</td>
<td>9.5(3)e-1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10³</td>
<td>~ 4.5</td>
<td>~ 2 ± 10³</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.82 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>9.4(4)e-1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>~ 76</td>
<td>~ 3.4 ± 10²</td>
<td>5.72 ± 0.01</td>
<td>15.99 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>9.4(4)e-1</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>10⁴</td>
<td>~ 165</td>
<td>~ 22</td>
<td>1.6 ± 10²</td>
<td>11.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1(8)</td>
<td>9.4(3)e-1</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>~ 749</td>
<td>~ 15</td>
<td>9.7 ± 10²</td>
<td>18.89 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tions to available exact results and predictions of the scaling theories presented in Secs. IV B and IV C. We close by comparing the dynamics of double-folded rings to the motion of linear chains in the tube model \[47\] (Sec. IV D).

### A. Qualitative insights

Figure 3 illustrates configurations of our lattice model for double-folded ring polymers as they emerge from our simulations after the systems have reached equilibrium. Ring monomers are shown as small spheres which are displaced from their actual position by a small random offset. This representation reveals (i) multiple occupancy of lattice sites and (ii) double-folding.

Specifically, we show a sample configuration of (a) an ideal double-folded ring, (b) a self-avoiding double-folded ring, and (c) a double-folded ring in the melt state with 216 monomers as well as (d) a view of a corresponding melt configuration (unfolded from the simulation box) for 12 double-folded rings. The gray tubes show the longest paths along the trees. Three-dimensional (3D) views of these configurations are available in supplementary materials, videos S1, S2, and S3 \[59\]. For the ideal case there is no restriction on the number of monomers on each site. Rings in the melt state and, in particular, isolated self-avoiding rings appear swollen relative to the ideal case. At least qualitatively, this is the expected \[36\] consequence of excluded volume interactions and the partial screening in melts. In the self-avoiding case, the structure is quite anisotropic and the longest path is aligned along the longest axis. In the melt case, the structure is more compact and spherically symmetric, and the mass is almost equally distributed between the branches.

A sequence of snapshots of the time evolution of a (randomly selected) self-avoiding double-folded ring with \( N = 216 \) monomers at logarithmic time intervals (indicated on the top left) is available in Supplementary materials, video S4 \[59\]. The gray tube shows the longest path along the tree at \( T = 0 \), where \( T \) is set to zero after reaching equilibrium. As a result of the local mass transport (both in space and along the tree), three distinct dynamical regimes can be observed. (i) At short time scales \( (T \lesssim 10^2 [\text{MCs}]) \), at the beginning of the video, the relaxation is dominated by the small intra-branch dynamics and the spontaneous formation and deletion of short side
are shown for ring contour distances up to \( N/2 \). Column (a) are the average values of the tree contour distances between all possible pairs of monomers, \( \langle L(n) \rangle \sim n^\rho \). Column (b) plots the squared internal distances as a function of \( n \), \( \langle R(n)^2 \rangle \sim n^{2\rho} \). The exact exponents for the ideal case are \( \rho = 1/2 \) and \( \nu = 1/4 \). In panels (a2) and (b2) data are plotted as a function of \( n_{\text{eff}} \), which effectively reduces finite size effects. The straight dashed lines correspond to the expectation scaling exponents. (a2) and (b2) insets show the local slopes of the data in panels (a2) and (b2), respectively. These effective exponents appear to converge to the theoretical exponents (dashed horizontal lines). Error bars are the same size or smaller than the symbols.

branches in the tree structure. (ii) At intermediate time scales, longer side-branches appear and disappear but the core trunk remains stable. (iii) Near the end of the video \( T \gtrsim 10^6 [\text{MCs}] \), the entire tree diffuses in space.

Finally, the supplementary video S5 [59] follows the motion of the same ring over even longer times to illustrate that its internal structure completely relaxes on time scales over which the ring diffuses over a distance corresponding to its own size.

B. Conformational statistics

To analyze the secondary and tertiary structure of our double-folded ring polymers as discussed in Secs. II A and II B, we have calculated the tree contour distance \( L(i,j) \) and square spatial distance \( R^2(i,j) \) between all pairs of ring monomers \( i \) and \( j \).

The tree contour distance \( L(i,j) \) is defined as the length of the shortest path on the tree connecting \( i \) and \( j \). \( L(i,j) \) only depends on the tree connectivity and is completely independent of the spatial embedding of the double-folded ring polymer (details in the Appendix). Conversely, the calculation of the spatial distance, \( R^2(i,j) \), is straightforward given the monomer positions and completely independent of the secondary structure.

Nevertheless, \( L(i,j) \) and \( R^2(i,j) \) are closely related, since the configurational statistics of the shortest path between two monomers on the tree is expected to follow a typical power-law relation, \( \langle R^2(L) \rangle \sim L^{2\nu_{\text{path}}} \), for linear chains [Eq. (5)]. For ideal chains, \( \nu_{\text{path}} = 1/2 \) so that \( \langle R^2(L_{ij}) \rangle \sim L_{ij} \). Excluded volume interactions cause a characteristic swelling with \( \nu_{\text{path}} > 1/2 \). To allow for a direct comparison, the various panels in Figs. 4-7 with our results for the secondary and tertiary structure always show corresponding data for these two quantities side by side.
FIG. 7. Conformational statistics of double-folded rings. Left column: average tree contour distance ($L$) as a function of the chain length $N$. Straight lines correspond to the large-$N$ behavior, $\langle L(N) \rangle \sim N^n$. Right column: ring mean-square gyration radius ($R_g^2$) as a function of the chain length. Straight lines correspond to the large-$N$ behavior, $R_g(N)^2 \sim N^{2\nu}$.Insets show the local slopes of the data. These effective exponents appear to converge to the theoretical exponents (dashed horizontal lines). Error bars are the same size or smaller than the symbols.

Results for averaging $L(n)$ and $R^2(n)$ for the three systems under investigation over monomer pairs with identical ring contour distance, $n = |i - j|$, are shown in panels $(a_1)$ and $(b_1)$ of Figs. 4-6. As expected, the results are ring size independent at small scales and reach a plateau on approaching the maximal ring contour distance of $n = N/2$.

Panels $(a_2)$ and $(b_2)$ in Figs. 4-6 show the same data plotted as a function of an effective ring contour distance, $n_{\text{eff}} = n(1 - n/N)$, introduced in sec. II B. This representation reduces the finite ring size effects sufficiently for a meaningful comparison with the expected power law relations $\langle L(n) \rangle \sim n^\rho$ and $\langle R^2(n) \rangle \sim n^{2\nu}$. The dashed lines have slopes corresponding to the exact value or the predictions of Flory theory for these exponents in the asymptotic limit of infinite ring size. In addition, we have extracted effective exponents by calculating the derivatives using the logarithm of neighboring data points, $(\Delta \log(L(n_{\text{eff}}))) / (\Delta \log(n_{\text{eff}}))$ and $(\Delta \log(R^2(n_{\text{eff}}))) / (\Delta \log(n_{\text{eff}}))$. Our results are shown in the inset of panels $(a_2)$ and $(b_2)$ of Figs. 4-6. The horizontal lines show again the expected exponents in the asymptotic limit of infinite ring size.

As a complement, we have analyzed the average tree contour distance $\langle L(N) \rangle$ and the mean-square gyration radius, $\langle R_g^2(N) \rangle$, as a function of the chain length (Fig. 7), where the averages of the tree contour and spatial distances is calculated over all monomer pairs irrespective of their distance along the ring. A summary of these values for the studied systems is provided in Table I. Again, we have calculated the local exponents based on the slopes of the data points. The results are shown in the inset of panels of Fig 7.

Like in comparable simulations of lattice trees [33, 34], none of our systems has truly reached the asymptotic regime. Nevertheless, the observed values and trends (which represent crossovers between numerous regimes for linear or branched structures without or with full or partially screened excluded volume interactions [36]) are in good agreement with the theoretical expectations.

C. Dynamics

Having obtained a brief characterization of conformational and structural properties of the double-folded rings, we can now turn our attention to their dynamics.

Polymer dynamics is usually analyzed by monitoring the mean-square displacements (MSD) of individual monomers and of the chain centers of mass (CM) with
The total monomer mean-square displacement, 

$$g_1(t) = \langle |r(t) - r(0)|^2 \rangle$$

- The monomer mean-square displacement relative to the chain’s center of mass, 

$$g_2(t) = \langle |r(t) - r(0) - r_{CM}(t) + r_{CM}(0)|^2 \rangle$$

- The mean-square displacement of the chain center of mass, 

$$g_3(t) = \langle |r_{CM}(t) - r_{CM}(0)|^2 \rangle$$

for single ideal and self-avoiding double-folded ring polymers as well as for double-folded ring polymers in the melt state.

Panels (a) in Figs. 8-10 shows a comparison of $g_1(t)$, $g_2(t)$, and $g_3(t)$ for one chain length ($N = 216$). In all three systems we see that $g_1(t)$ is dominated by $g_2(t)$ at early MC times and by $g_3(t)$ at large times. Up to $\tau_0 \approx 10$ MC sweeps (MCs) the monomer and CM motion follow a trivial diffusive dynamics. The characteristic dynamics of double-folded rings discussed in Sec. II D sets in beyond $\tau_0$ and extends up to a maximal time $\tau_{max}$, where the internal monomer motion reaches a plateau, $g_2(t) = 2(R_2^2)$, while $g_3(t)$ crosses over to free diffusion.

Panels (b) and (c) in Figs. 8-10 show data for the monomer motion $g_2(t)$ and $g_1(t)$ for different chain lengths, $N$. In all cases, the monomer MSD in the early and the tree regime are independent of $N$ before crossing over to a ring size dependent free diffusion regime. In particular, the data in the tree regime follow an effective power law close to the prediction $t^{\frac{2+c}{1+c}}$ [Eq. (17)], indicated by dashed lines. The crossovers between the three regimes are nicely revealed by the effective exponents, $(\frac{\Delta \log g_1(t)}{\Delta t})$, shown in the insets of panels (c). While there are finite ring size effects, they essentially concern the width of the tree regime. The agreement with the expected exponents in the tree regime is excellent.

Panels (d) in Figs. 8-10 show the rescaled dynamics of the center of mass $g_3(t)$, multiplied by the ring size $N$, to compensate for the expected ring size dependence in the early and in the tree regime, Eq. (18). In both regimes data for different rings sizes collapse indeed on a universal scaling curve. In the tree regime, the data follow an effective power law close to the prediction $t^{\frac{2+c}{1+c}}$ [Eq. (18)], indicated by dashed lines. The various regimes can be clearly identified when considering the effective exponents, $(\frac{\Delta \log g_3(t)}{\Delta t})$, shown in the insets of panels (c). Interestingly, the effective exponent for the dynamics of self-avoiding double-folded rings initially drops close to the value expected in the ideal case before increasing to a value in good agreement with the theoretical prediction.

Panels (e) and (f) in Figs. 8-10 explore the crossover of the monomer and the CM MSD from the tree to the free diffusion regime. For this purpose, we rescale $g_1(t)$ and $g_3(t)$ with the mean-square gyration radii, $\langle R_2^2(N) \rangle$, of the corresponding rings. To rescale the time axis, we empirically defined a “diffusion relaxation time” as the time when the mean square displacement of the center of mass has moved a distance equal to the radius of gyration, $g_3(\tau_{max}) = \langle R_2^2 \rangle$. The operation leads to a perfect data collapse for all but the earliest times before the rings enter the tree regime.
of double-folded ring polymers are plotted in Fig. 11. In all three cases, the configurational relaxation times are smaller than the diffusion relaxation times, but both estimates of \( \tau_{\text{max}} \) scale in the same way. The corresponding effective exponents shown in the inset are somewhat larger than expected. While our values are compatible with an approach to the asymptotically expected exponent, a quantitative analysis probably requires data for larger systems.

D. Dynamics of double-folded rings vs. linear chains

As summarized in Sec. IV C, the scaling theory [3, 4, 8] of the dynamics of randomly branching double-folded ring polymers focuses on the mass transport along the longest linear path on the tree. Given the similarities to the tube model for linear chains [e.g. Eq. (13) for the maximal relaxation time, \( \tau_{\text{max}}(N) \sim N^{\rho+2} \), applies in both cases], one could be tempted to think of the ring motion as a generalized form of reptation along their longest paths.

To test this analogy, we have visualized the equilibrium dynamics of the longest path of a randomly selected self-avoiding double-folded ring (Supplementary Materials, video S6 [59]) and of a randomly selected double-folded ring in the melt state (Supplementary Materials, video S7 [59]). Note that in these movies time progresses exponentially to cover the large gap between the time scales relevant to motion on the monomer and on the ring scale respectively. Furthermore, we show fading images of previous conformations to simplify comparisons with the current conformation.

At early times, the behavior is very similar to contour length fluctuations for linear chains in the tube model: the bulk of the longest path remains unchanged, while the path ends fluctuate. But after a while much more drastic changes appear, where the longest path appears to jump in space. For a linear chain such a jump of the primitive chain would necessarily require a corresponding transport of mass. For our rings the movie insets show that the jumps in the position of the longest path are not accompanied by major changes in the ring configurations. Instead, the jumps are due to the continuous redistribution of mass between the side branches, which at some point cause a substantially different path to outgrow the original longest path.

There are qualitative differences between the two types of ring systems. In the self-avoiding case, during relaxation, short side branches relax first. This manifests itself at the ends of the backbone whose center portion remains unchanged. At later times, longer side branches relax and randomly one or two side branches start to grow. Beyond the relaxation time the backbone changes as a whole as a result of modified branching. In the melt case, the structure is more compact and spherically sym-

The scaling theory predicts that the maximal relaxation time should vary as \( \tau_{\text{max}} \sim N^{2+\rho} \) with the ring size, Eq. (13). Besides the “diffusion relaxation time” defined above, we have also tested this relation for the correlation time of the mean-square gyration radius, \( \langle R^2 \rangle \), which characterizes the tertiary structure of our double-folded rings. We have inferred this configurational relaxation time via the equation \( \tau_{\text{max}} = \frac{T_{\text{ind}}}{2N_{\text{ind}}} \) [61] from the number, \( N_{\text{ind}} \), of independent samples we have obtained for the observable as estimated from a block averaging procedure [62]. Our results for the three investigated classes

![FIG. 11. Relaxation time in units of MCs vs N (chain length). Diffusion relaxation time (triangles) is calculated where \( g_3(\tau_{\text{max}}) \) and \( \langle R^2 \rangle \) are equal. Configurational relaxation time (circles) is calculated using, \( \tau_{\text{max}} = \frac{T_{\text{ind}}}{2N_{\text{ind}}} \), where \( N_{\text{ind}} \) is number of independent samples. The black solid lines indicate the theoretically predicted slopes, \( \tau_{\text{max}} \sim N^{2+\rho} \), while the dashed lines are the best fit. Insets show the local slopes of the data. These effective exponents appear to converge to the theoretical exponents (dashed horizontal lines).](image-url)
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metric. The mass is almost equally distributed between the branches. Hence, a small change in the side branches may immediately lead to a completely new path for the longest backbone. This can be observed by comparing videos S6 and S7 [59]. A quantitative study [35] pursued the statistics of the branch weight distributions in the melt state, but a study of the dynamics of the distribution of side branches is still required.

Since it is not possible to follow the temporal evolution of a particular path on an internally rearranging tree, it is easier to focus on pairs of monomers \((i^*, j^*)\), which at some time, \(t = 0\), are located on opposite ends of the longest path on the primitive tree. Typically these monomers are also relatively remote along the ring contour, their contour distances following a broad distribution of around \(|i^* - j^*| = N/2\).

In the movie insets we used bigger spheres to mark two such pairs of monomers which flank the longest path at the beginning and the end of the visualized sequences.

Figure 12 presents a quantitative analysis of the tree contour distance \(L(i^*, j^*, t)\) between these monomers rescaled by the average tree contour distance \(<L(N)⟩\) reported in Table I. The panels on the left-hand side show the distribution of the tree contour distances at \(t = 0\), i.e. for \(L(i^*, j^*, t = 0) = L_{\text{max}}(t = 0)\). Results for different ring sizes superpose, indicating that both, the average and width of the distribution scale with \(<L(N)⟩ \sim N^p\). In contrast, for linear chains contour length fluctuations, \(\sqrt{\langle\delta L^2⟩_{pp}} \sim \sqrt{N}\), become asymptotically negligible compared to the average length, \(<L_{pp}⟩ \sim N\), of the primitive paths [31]. The central panels in Fig. 12 show the decay of \(<L(i^*, j^*, t)⟩\) from a value of \((2.44 \pm 0.03) \times <L(N)⟩\) for self-avoiding rings, and \((2.77 \pm 0.01) \times <L(N)⟩\) for rings in the melt over a time scale of the order of the “diffusion relaxation time”, \(\tau_{\text{max}}\). Again the suitably rescaled data for different ring sizes exhibit a reasonable collapse. The true extent of the (tree) “contour length fluctuations” is better represented by the distribution functions of \(L(i^*, j^*, t = 10\tau_{\text{max}})\) shown in the panels on the right-hand side of Fig. 12. While the monomers \((i^*, j^*)\) located at opposite ends of the longest path on the tree at \(t = 0\) have a finite chance to form secondary structure contacts [50] with \(L(i^*, j^*, t) \equiv 0\) at later times, a corresponding deep retraction of one end of a linear chain to the opposite end of the tube is exponentially rare [31].

We conclude that randomly branching double-folded ring polymers move quite differently from reptating linear chains. In particular, the dynamics of rings of all sizes is dominated by the analog of contour length fluctuations occurring simultaneously between all pairs of monomers of the rings.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Supercoiled DNA, crumpled interphase chromosomes, and topologically constrained ring polymers often adopt treelike, double-folded, randomly branching configurations. To explore the statistical and dynamical properties of such objects, we have performed Monte Carlo simulations of a suitable elastic lattice polymer model which accounts for double-folding [4, 43], the local accumulation of contour length on the primitive tree [4, 40, 44–46] as well as excluded volume interactions [44–46]. In particular, we have studied single ideal double-folded rings, single self-avoiding double-folded rings, and double-folded rings in the melt state.

In our simulations, side branches of the primitive tree characterizing the double-folded rings are spontaneously created and deleted as a consequence of the local monomer motion. Since the diffusive mass transport is local both in space and on the connectivity graph of the tree, we expect our systems to fall into the same universality class as the experimental target systems.

The observed static properties are in good agreement with exact results and predictions of Flory theory for randomly branching polymers. For example, in the melt state rings adopt compact configurations and exhibit territorial behavior. In particular, the model reproduces results from previous simulations of double-folded ring polymers [50], which were wrapped a posteriori around randomly branching polymers generated in corresponding simulations on the tree level [33–35] in an attempt to devise a numerically efficient multi-scale approach to the simulation of non-concatenated ring polymer melts and interphase nuclei [11, 12].

The present approach offers the advantage that the
dynamics of the ring degrees of freedom can be followed

together with the evolution of the tree structure. This is

a key feature for the simulation of co-polymer \[63\] models

of crumpled \[14, 15\] or supercoiled \[17, 19, 20\] interphase

chromosomes. Here we have used this information to

show that the diffusion of the monomers and the rings’

center of mass are in excellent agreement with the predic-
tions of a recent scaling theory \[8\]. Furthermore, we have

explored a possible analogy between the motion of ran-
domly branching double-folded ring polymers and reptat-
ing linear chains. While there exist formal similarities on

the scaling level, we conclude that the dynamics of rings

is rather dominated by the analog of contour length fluc-
tuations, which constantly modify the distances between

all monomers on the tree over a wide range.
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Appendix A: Calculating tree contour distances for
tightly double-folded rings

1. Bridge bonds and tree contour distances

An example of a tree is illustrated in Fig. 13(a).
The tree connectivity can be mapped on a circle as in
Fig. 13(b), where consecutive monomers are represented
with numbers. The tree contour distance between two
monomers of a double-folded ring can be calculated by
following the ring contour while ignoring all the double-
folded side branches along the way. Bridge bonds (repre-
sented with dashed lines) between monomers on each tree
site can be defined to mark the location of possible side
branches. The tree contour distance between any pair of
monomers can be calculated by counting the number of
steps taken on the circle and using the bridge bonds as
shortcuts (shortcuts do not add to the number of steps).
Obviously, the choice of direction (clockwise or counter-
clockwise) should result in the same contour length. For
example, the tree contour distance between monomers 9
and 4 in Fig. 13(c) is 2.

2. Bridge bonds from spatial co-localization

In systems with excluded volume interactions, the
identification of the bridge bonds is straightforward as
they simply connect monomers which are colocalized in
space (Fig. 13). However, as illustrated in Fig. 14 this
method fails in the ideal case, where incorrectly identi-
fied extra bridges [red dashed line in Fig. 14(b)] lead to
lattice animal-like connectivity graphs containing falsely
identified loops.

3. Bridge bonds from an analysis of the local
connectivity

We used a “pinching” variant of the “burning” algo-

rithm \[25, 33, 64\] that takes advantage of the local con-
nectivity information. As the algorithm operates by it-

eratively removing (pinching off) branch tips, it avoids
the false identification of loops. The protocol to find the
bridges layer by layer is as follows:

(A) Make a list of all the tree branch tips. A branch tip
is defined as a monomer with attached bonds pointing in
opposite directions, ignoring neighbor loops (with zero-
length bonds). For example, in Fig. 13(a) monomers 1,
9, and 6 (5 is a loop) are branch tips.

(B) Randomly select a branch tip and remove it from
the ring. For example, if the branch tip 9 is randomly
selected, monomer 9 is removed and a bridge is defined
between monomers 8 and 10.
D) Steps (A) - (C) result in the removal of one “layer” of side branches. Repeat these steps (remove layer by layer) until all the branch tips are removed and all the bridge bonds are found.

We verified that the pinching algorithm reproduces the results from the spatial co-localization for double-folded rings with excluded volume interactions.

4. Ambiguities in the tree structure for ideal double-folded rings

The tree connectivity is not uniquely defined for the ideal double-folded rings. There is an intrinsic ambiguity in how side branches and the underlying tree structure is defined. An example is given in Fig. 15(a) and (c1), where branch tips under consideration and their attached bonds are colored blue. They both have the same tree structure but the number of branch tips is open for interpretation:

(1) Figure 15(a): Branch tips are 1, 3 (pointing up), 5 (pointing up), and 7. During the burning of the first layer, all the branch tips are removed, which results in the bridging bonds shown in Fig. 15(b).

(2) Figure 15(c1): Branch tips are 1, 7, and 4 (pointing down from tree node {3, 5}). Fig. 15(d1) shows the bridges corresponding to the burning of the first layer. In the next layer of burning, Fig. 15(c2), the bridge between monomers 2 and 6 is formed, Fig. 15(d2).

(1) and (2) are random outcomes of step (B) that result in different bridging bonds and therefore different tree contour lengths, as shown in Figs. 15(b) and (d2). In practice, we repeat the described procedure multiple times and consider the shortest tree contour distances among different interpretations.


[59] See Supplemental Material at [URL will be inserted by publisher] for generated videos.


